

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vrignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ر

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/826,325	. 04/05/2001	Ian Karl Jones	713-488	4159
22429	7590 05/21/2003			
LOWE HAUPTMAN GILMAN AND BERNER, LLP 1700 DIAGONAL ROAD SUITE 300 /310			EXAMINER	
			KELLY, CYNTHIA HARRIS	
ALEXANDRI	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1774	
			DATE MAILED: 05/21/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. *			49~1		
	Application No. Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/826,325	JONES, IAN KARL			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Cynthia H Kelly	1774			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 09 May 2003 FAILS TO PLACE 1 Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Apple Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this apple (1) a timely filed amendment wh	ication. A proper re nich places the appli	ply to a cation in		
PERIOD FOR F	REPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f).	than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date	of the final rejection.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorter (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount of the ned statutory period for reply originally set in	ie fee. The appropriate ex in the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellar 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.192(a)).					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:				
(a) they raise new issues that would require fur	ther consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);			
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	e below);				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	n in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or	simplifying the		
(d) they present additional claims without cand	celing a corresponding number of	f finally rejected clai	ms.		
NOTE:					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rej	ection(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	uld be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely file	d amendment		
5.⊠ The a)□ affidavit, b)□ exhibit, or c)⊠ request application in condition for allowance because:		nsidered but does No	OT place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered to raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	pecause it is not directed SOLEL	Y to issues which we	ere newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment	ent(s) a) will not be entered or	b)⊠ will be entered	and an		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

10. ☐ Other:

ð

explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

8. The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) allowed: \_\_\_\_\_.
Claim(s) objected to: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) rejected: 1,4-10 and 12-37.

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 09/826,325

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant asserts that the invention is of a single material layer but in claim 5, applicant refers to an uppermost and lowermost level. This appears to refer to more than one layer. The rejections are therfore maintained..

CYNTHIA H. KELLY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

Cythethely